



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

Date: 9-24-2003

By: Susan L. Baka  
Susan L. Baka

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

IN RE APPLICATION OF: LAUS *ET AL.*

APPLICATION No.: 09/461,684

FILED: DECEMBER 14, 1999

FOR: **COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR  
ENHANCEMENT OF MAJOR HISTOCOMPATIBILITY  
COMPLEX CLASS I RESTRICTED ANTIGEN  
PRESENTATION**

EXAMINER: DIBRINO, MARIANNE

ART UNIT: 1644

CONF. No: 4142

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 06 2003  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

**Response to Office Communication**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The present communication responds to the Office communication dated August 11, 2003, in which the Examiner stated that the Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 filed on January 30, 2002, in the above-identified application was not fully responsive to the Examiner's communication mailed July 30, 2001, for the reason that the claims had not been amended properly under 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(1)(ii), i.e., the marked-up copy must show all the changes relative to the previous version. With regard to the amendment of claim 1, the marked up version did not indicate the deletion of "which" in line 4 of the original version of claim 1.

All of the amendments to the claims are resubmitted in the listing of claims beginning on page 2 and include the correction of claim 1 in compliance with the revised 37 CFR § 1.121 amendment practice.